
Prevalence of Diabetes in the United States A Glimmer of Hope?

William H. Herman, MD, MPH; Amy E. Rothberg, MD, PhD

Obesity is a major risk factor for type 2 diabetes. The prevalence of obesity in US adults, defined as a body mass index (BMI; calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared) of 30 or greater, changed little between 1960 and 1980 (from 13% in 1960 to 15% in 1980). Subsequently, between 1980 and 2000, the prevalence of obesity in the United States doubled from 15% to 31%.¹ Since then, there has been relatively little change in the prevalence of obesity among infants and toddlers, children and adolescents, or adults. Nevertheless, the prevalence of obesity is high with 8% of infants and toddlers, 17% of those aged 2 to 19 years, and 35% of US adults aged 20 years or older estimated to be obese.^{2,3}

An earlier study of trends in diagnosed diabetes among US adults demonstrated stable incidence and prevalence rates between 1980 and 1990 and sharp increases in both incidence and prevalence each year between 1990 and 2008, but a leveling off of diabetes prevalence and a possible decrease in diabetes incidence between 2008 and 2012.⁴

In this issue of *JAMA*, Menke and colleagues⁵ analyzed data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) to estimate the prevalence of total, diagnosed, and undiagnosed diabetes in US adults in 2011-2012 and to update national trends between 1988 and 2012. The authors defined diagnosed diabetes as self-report of a previous diagnosis of diabetes. Depending on the availability of data, they used 2 definitions for undiagnosed diabetes: (1) a hemoglobin A_{1c} level of 6.5% or greater, a fasting plasma glucose (FPG) level of 126 mg/dL or greater, or a 2-hour plasma glucose (2 hours after a 75 g oral glucose load) level of 200 mg/dL or greater or (2) a hemoglobin A_{1c} level of 6.5% or greater or an FPG level of 126 mg/dL or greater. In both

instances, total diabetes was defined as the sum of the cases of diagnosed and undiagnosed diabetes.

In 2011-2012, using the hemoglobin A_{1c}, FPG, or 2-hour plasma glucose diabetes definition, the unadjusted prevalence was 14.3% for total diabetes, 9.1% for diagnosed diabetes, and 5.2% for undiagnosed diabetes. The prevalence of total diabetes was higher in older age groups but similar among men and women. Compared with non-Hispanic white participants in whom the age-standardized prevalence of total diabetes was 11.3%, the prevalence of total diabetes was higher in non-Hispanic black (21.8%) and Hispanic (22.6%) participants and marginally higher in non-Hispanic Asian (20.6%) participants. The percentage of people with diabetes who were undiagnosed was higher among non-Hispanic Asian (50.9%) and Hispanic participants (49.0%) than among non-Hispanic black (36.8%) and non-Hispanic white (32.3%) participants.

Using the hemoglobin A_{1c} or FPG diabetes definition, the age-standardized prevalence of total diabetes increased from 9.8% in 1988-1994 to 12.5% in 2007-2008, but remained at approximately 12% between 2008 and 2012. The increase in diabetes prevalence between 1988 and 2012 was due to an increase in diagnosed diabetes. Indeed, the age-standardized percentage of total diabetes that was undiagnosed decreased from 40.3% in 1988-1994 to 31.0% in 2011-2012 in the entire US population. The percentage of total diabetes that was undiagnosed did not decrease significantly in people aged 20 to 44 years (40% in 1988 and 40% in 2012).

These findings suggest that the recommendations issued by the US Surgeon General⁶ and the Institute of Medicine,⁷ the implementation of food, nutrition, agricultural, and physical activity policies and regulations by federal, state, and local governments,⁸ and the focus on individual behavioral change related to diet and physical activity by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention⁹ (CDC) have



Related article page 1021

begun to affect the prevalence of obesity, and secondarily, after a delay of approximately 10 years, the prevalence of type 2 diabetes.

The fact that the proportion of individuals with undiagnosed diabetes in the United States is decreasing is encouraging in that reducing the lead time between diabetes onset and clinical diagnosis, combined with prompt initiation of treatment for glycemia and cardiovascular risk factors, is likely to confer substantial health benefits.¹⁰ However, the finding that an estimated one-quarter with diabetes in the United States remain undiagnosed is hardly surprising. Numerous studies have demonstrated that the use of different diagnostic tests identifies different individuals with diabetes and results in different prevalence rates of diabetes. An analysis of NHANES 2005-2010 data demonstrated that 2.8% of US adults would be diagnosed with diabetes by hemoglobin A_{1c} criteria alone, 4.7% by FPG criteria alone, and 9.1% by 2-hour plasma glucose criteria alone.¹¹

The American Diabetes Association (ADA) recommends that the same test be used to make and confirm the diagnosis of diabetes¹²; and most clinicians perform only the hemoglobin A_{1c} or FPG test. In the study by Menke and colleagues,⁵ any FPG, hemoglobin A_{1c}, or 2-hour plasma glucose test result above the diagnostic threshold was used to define diabetes. Therefore, clinicians relying on a single diagnostic test will never identify the universe of patients with diabetes who would be identified if all 3 tests were used.

The greater prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes among Asian American individuals suggests that less screening and diagnostic testing is being performed among less obese Asian American individuals, despite the greater cardiometabolic risk that occurs at lower BMI levels.¹³ This finding sup-

ports the recent recommendation of the ADA to consider diabetes testing for all Asian American individuals with a BMI of 23 or greater.¹³ The higher prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes in younger people and Hispanic individuals with diabetes may reflect less access to health care. Greater access to care through the Affordable Care Act may help to address this disparity.¹⁴

Although obesity and type 2 diabetes remain major clinical and public health problems in the United States, the current data provide a glimmer of hope. The shift in cultural attitudes toward obesity,¹⁵ the American Medical Association's (AMA's) recognition of obesity as a disease,¹⁶ and the increasing focus on societal interventions to address food policy and the built environment⁸ are beginning to address some of the broad environmental forces that have contributed to the epidemic of obesity. The effort of the AMA to promote screening, testing, and referral of high-risk patients for diabetes prevention through its Prevent Diabetes STAT program¹⁷ and the CDC's efforts to increase the availability of diabetes prevention programs, ensure their quality, and promote their use⁹ appear to be helping to identify at-risk individuals and provide the infrastructure to support individual behavioral change.

Providing insurance coverage for intensive behavioral therapies for obesity¹⁸ and using behavioral economic approaches to encourage their uptake¹⁹ are further removing barriers to patient engagement and are providing strong incentives for individual behavioral change. Together, these multifaceted approaches addressing both environmental factors and individual behaviors appear to be slowing the increase in obesity and diabetes, and facilitating the diagnosis and management of diabetes. Progress has been made, but expanded and sustained efforts will be required.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Author Affiliations: Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan Health System, Ann Arbor (Herman, Rothberg); Department of Epidemiology, University of Michigan School of Public Health, Ann Arbor (Herman).

Corresponding Author: William H. Herman, MD, MPH, Department of Internal Medicine and Epidemiology, University of Michigan, 1000 Wall St, Ann Arbor, MI 48105 (wherman@umich.edu).

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: The authors have completed and submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Dr Herman reported receiving personal fees and other from Merck Sharp & Dohme and Lexicon Pharmaceuticals; and personal fees from Profil Institute for Clinical Research. No other disclosures were reported.

REFERENCES

1. Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Ogden CL, Johnson CL. Prevalence and trends in obesity among US adults, 1999-2000. *JAMA*. 2002;288(14):1723-1727.
2. Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Ogden CL. Prevalence of obesity and trends in the distribution of body mass index among US adults, 1999-2010. *JAMA*. 2012;307(5):491-497.

3. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. Prevalence of childhood and adult obesity in the United States, 2011-2012. *JAMA*. 2014;311(8):806-814.
4. Geiss LS, Wang J, Cheng YJ, et al. Prevalence and incidence trends for diagnosed diabetes among adults aged 20 to 79 years, United States, 1980-2012. *JAMA*. 2014;312(12):1218-1226.
5. Menke A, Casagrande S, Geiss L, Cowie CC. Prevalence of and trends in diabetes among adults in the United States, 1988-2012. *JAMA*. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.10029.
6. Office of the Surgeon General, US Department of Health and Human Services. The Surgeon General's vision for a healthy and fit nation 2010. <http://www.surgeongeneral.gov/priorities/healthy-fit-nation/obesityvision2010.pdf>. Accessibility verified August 11, 2015.
7. Institute of Medicine. *Accelerating Progress in Obesity Prevention: Solving the Weight of the Nation*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2012.
8. Hu FB, Satija A, Manson JE. Curbing the diabetes pandemic: the need for global policy solutions. *JAMA*. 2015;313(23):2319-2320.
9. Albright AL, Gregg EW. Preventing type 2 diabetes in communities across the US: the

National Diabetes Prevention Program. *Am J Prev Med*. 2013;44(4)(suppl 4):S346-S351.

10. Herman WH, Ye W, Griffin SJ, et al. Early Detection and Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes Reduce Cardiovascular Morbidity and Mortality: A Simulation of the Results of the Anglo-Danish-Dutch Study of Intensive Treatment in People With Screen-Detected Diabetes in Primary Care (ADDITION-Europe). *Diabetes Care*. 2015;38(8):1449-1455.
11. Guo F, Moellering DR, Garvey WT. Use of HbA_{1c} for diagnoses of diabetes and prediabetes: comparison with diagnoses based on fasting and 2-hr glucose values and effects of gender, race, and age. *Metab Syndr Relat Disord*. 2014;12(5):258-268.
12. American Diabetes Association. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2015;38(suppl):S8-S16.
13. Hsu WC, Araneta MR, Kanaya AM, Chiang JL, Fujimoto W. BMI cutpoints to identify at-risk Asian Americans for type 2 diabetes screening. *Diabetes Care*. 2015;38(1):150-158.
14. Sommers BD, Gunja MZ, Finegold K, Musco T. Changes in self-reported insurance coverage, access to care, and health under the Affordable Care Act. *JAMA*. 2015;314(4):366-374.

15. Sanger-Katz M. Behind a drop in calories, a shift in cultural attitudes. *New York Times*. July 28, 2015; A3.
16. Pollack A. AMA recognizes obesity as a disease. *New York Times*. June 19, 2013; B1.
17. Prevent Diabetes STAT. What you should know about prediabetes. <http://www.ama-assn.org/sub/prevent-diabetes-stat/>. Accessed July 29, 2015.
18. Rothberg AE, McEwen LN, Fraser T, Burant CF, Herman WH. The impact of a managed care obesity intervention on clinical outcomes and costs: a prospective observational study. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2013;21(11):2157-2162.
19. LeFevre ML; US Preventive Services Task Force. Behavioral counseling to promote a healthful diet and physical activity for cardiovascular disease prevention in adults with cardiovascular risk factors: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *Ann Intern Med*. 2014; 161(8):587-593.