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ABSTRACT

Objective. Emerging comparative literature documents significant racial differences in the chronic pain experience in terms of physical, psychological, and social well-being. However, the intrarace differences of chronic pain among black Americans and white Americans has not been extensively investigated. The purpose of this investigation was to examine the potential within-race-group differential effects and the psychosocial aspects of chronic pain in black and white Americans across age groups.

Design. A retrospective study of patients presenting for chronic pain management.

Setting. A tertiary care multidisciplinary pain center.

Patients. Patients were younger (<50 years) (mean ± SD: 36.7 ± 8.4) and older (≥50 years) (60 ± 9.3) black Americans (N = 525), and younger (36.6 ± 8.1) and older (63 ± 9.8) white Americans (N = 5,298).

Outcome Measures. Participants were measured on depressive symptoms, social functioning, pain intensity, pain-related disability, and physical comorbidities.

Results. Younger black Americans reported more depressive symptoms, pain intensity, and were less successful at coping with pain when compared to older black Americans. Similar within-group differences were also observed for reports of depressive symptoms, pain intensity, and coping abilities among white Americans. Results further showed that younger white Americans also experienced more symptoms related to post-traumatic distress than older white Americans.

Conclusion. Examining within-race-group variability suggests that chronic pain differentially affects the quality of life and health status of black Americans and white Americans across age groups. This study emphasizes the need for further chronic pain studies examining pain indicators within defined racial and ethnic groups.
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Introduction

Chronic pain (e.g., nonmalignant or benign) is a common health concern that impacts an individual’s physical functioning, psychological health, and social well-being [1–6]. The reduction in health status due to chronic pain is a growing public health concern. This is particularly important considering the impact pain will have on the abilities of minorities and older persons to function physically, psychologically, and socially in their environment [7]. While racial and ethnic minorities as well as older adults are more vulnerable and suffer increased morbidity due to pain, they are also at an increased risk for the undertreatment of pain [8–13]. However, the factors associated with chronic pain management among diverse racial populations are poorly understood, given that black Americans are disproportionately diagnosed with more severe and debilitating illnesses [14–16]. Although there is limited information regarding the clinical pain experiences of black Americans and older black Americans in particular, disturbing racial disparities in pain management have been demonstrated [17–19]. Bernabei et al. showed that pain assessment and treatment among older black Americans living in nursing homes was less than that for older white Americans [20]. Similarly, Cleeland and colleagues found that racial and ethnic minority persons were at risk for the undertreatment of cancer pain [21]. Using a chronic pain population, Green and Baker found that both older and younger black Americans reported more pain, suffering, and less control over their pain than a comparative group of white Americans [22].

Despite the significant conceptual and methodological findings of these comparative investigations, chronic pain research is limited in several respects. First, the research does not identify the magnitude of within racial or ethnic group variability. Previous studies primarily focused on differences between white and black Americans [23,24] or differences between white Americans and other racial and ethnic groups in chronic pain using experimental models [25,26]. It has been recognized that there is considerable sociodemographic heterogeneity within a given racial or ethnic group, suggesting that there are varying biological, social, and psychological factors that may influence the pain experience [27]. This observation suggests a need to thoroughly identify and examine pain indicators within defined racial and ethnic groups for developing a body of knowledge that will yield more meaningful and valid comparisons between different race groups [28].

There is a paucity of empiric research identifying the physical and psychosocial factors (e.g., symptoms associated with chronic pain, pain characteristics, disability due to pain) that influence within-race-group differences across age groups in pain management. As an exploration of intrarace variability in the chronic pain experience, this study was designed to examine the influence of various physical, psychological, and social factors within a sample of black Americans and within a sample of white Americans who sought treatment at a tertiary care Multidisciplinary Pain Center. The intent was not to compare the health and psychosocial influences between black and white Americans who presented with chronic pain, but rather to examine specific within-race-group differences in independent samples of black and white Americans across age groups. Thus, the primary objective of this investigation was to determine whether differences exist within independent samples of black and white Americans in: (1) depressive symptoms as determined by the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI); (2) symptoms of post-traumatic stress (PTSD) among pain patients as measured by the Post-traumatic Chronic Pain Test (PCPT); (3) pain intensity as determined by the McGill Pain Questionnaire (MPQ); (4) physical disability due to pain via the Pain Disability Index (PDI); and (5) physical comorbidities. Several single item questions also assessed coping abilities with pain, control over pain, suffering due to pain, and social behaviors (i.e., alcohol use for pain, sleep patterns). In examining these intrarace group differences, this research was guided by the overriding hypothesis that older black and white Americans will report similar comorbidities as well as patterns of pain intensity, depressive symptoms, post-traumatic stress symptoms, physical disability and coping ability when compared to younger black and white Americans.

Methods

Participants and Methods

This investigation was approved by the University of Michigan Health System’s (UMHS) Institutional Review Board (IRB) and written informed consent was waived. A secondary analysis of a database containing self-report information on pain and psychosocial variables from persons with chronic pain was performed. Adult patients who were black Americans or white Americans
≥18 years old who initially presented for evaluation and treatment at the University of Michigan’s Multidisciplinary Pain Center from 1993 to 2000 were included in this sample and analyses.

Measures

Pain Intensity, Symptoms, Disability
Pain intensity was evaluated using the McGill Pain Inventory (MPQ). The Pain Rating Index (PRI) scale of the MPQ consists of 78 pain descriptors that provide an overall index of pain (based on its position in the word set) [4,29–31]. A mean score value was obtained by summing the ranked intensities of all the words chosen by the respondent, and then averaged to obtain a single score. A high score indicates greater pain intensity (α = 0.828).

Pain disability due to pain was assessed using the Pain Disability Index (PDI). The PDI measures the amount of interference a patient has with normal role functioning or daily activities caused by pain. This seven-item instrument assesses family/home responsibility, recreation, social activity, occupation, sexual behavior, self-care, and life-support activity. Summation of the seven questions on an 11-point Likert scale (0 = no disability, 10 = total disability) is used to evaluate the impact of pain on the individual [32,33]. The PDI yields a composite score that ranges from 0 to 70, with higher scores indicating maximum disability (α = 0.848).

Three single-item questions were also included to assess level of suffering due to pain (e.g., How much suffering do you experience because of your pain?; 0 = no suffering, 6 = extreme suffering), coping ability (e.g., How successful are you at coping?; 0 = not at all successful, 6 = extremely successful), and control over pain (How much control do you feel that you have over your pain?; 0 = no control at all, 6 = a great deal of control).

Psychological Measures
The Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) was used to measure depressive symptoms via 21 items that assessed depressed affect, positive affect, somatic complaints, and interpersonal problems. This assessment tool has excellent reliability and validity as an index for measuring depressive symptoms among patients with chronic pain [34]. The PCPT assessed symptoms consistent with post-traumatic stress (PTSD) via six questions on a 7-point Likert scale (0 = not at all, 6 = very much) [35–38]. The PCPT yields a composite score that ranges from 0 to 36; higher scores indicate more symptoms consistent with PTSD (α = 0.897).

Physical Health, Sleep Patterns, and Social Behaviors
A checklist of physical comorbidities assessed the presence of common medical problems and symptoms such as high blood pressure (HBP), chest pain, irritable bowel syndrome, colitis, dizziness, and gastric ulcers. Four single-item questions were also included to determine problems with sleep and sleep patterns (e.g., Do you have trouble falling asleep?; Do you have problems staying asleep?; 0 = no, 1 = yes; In the morning, how rested or refreshed do you feel?; 0 = not at all rested or refreshed, 6 = completely rested or refreshed; and During a typical day, how tired and fatigued do you feel?; 0 = not at all tired or fatigued, 6 = extremely tired or fatigued). A single-item question was also included to assess specific social behaviors (e.g., Do you use alcohol to help you relieve pain?; 0 = never, 1 = sometimes, 2 = frequently).

Demographic Characteristics
Five demographic variables were included in the analyses: age, sex, education, income, and marital status. Age was measured in a categorical format (<50 years old and ≥50 years old). Sex was treated as a dichotomous variable (0 = males; 1 = females). Education was assessed as categorical data reflecting less than a high school degree, high school graduate, and college graduate. Income was coded as the annual median household income (as determined by the zip code analyses from the U.S. census data). Marital status was assessed as a dichotomous variable (0 = single/divorced/separated; 1 = married).

Statistical Analysis
Separate data analyses were conducted in several stages for both race groups. First, descriptive statistics were computed to provide a profile on each sample’s demographic characteristics and to differentiate the characteristics for each race by age groups (e.g., <50 years vs ≥50 years). Second, to yield higher levels of power and to account for the non-normal distribution of some of the variables, a Mann–Whitney U-test was employed to test the null hypotheses of no difference between the younger and older black Americans and between the younger and older white Americans on mean scores for the BDI, PDI, MPQ, and PCPT. Means and confidence intervals (CI) were reported for questions measured via Likert scales. All categorical data were analyzed using 2 × 2 tables, chi-square or Fisher exact test statistic. Odds ratios
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(OR) (Logistic regression models) were calculated to evaluate the relationship between the two age groups and depressive symptoms and ability to cope. All statistical analyses were performed with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 12.0. Statistical significance for all analyses were determined with the probability of a type I error, \( P = 0.01 \).

Results

Demographic Characteristics by Race

For the black Americans (\( N = 525 \)), the majority (\( n = 361, 69\% \)) were <50 years of age. The mean age for the younger black Americans was 37.6 ± 8.4 years. The older black Americans (≥50 years of age) had a mean age of 60.0 ± 9.3 years. When compared to the younger age group, older black Americans were more frequently married (52.8% vs 40.9%, \( P = 0.01 \)) and less frequently high school graduates (47.3% vs 65.8%, \( P < 0.001 \)). No significant differences between the age groups were found in annual median household income or use alcohol for pain. Other demographic characteristics for the black Americans are provided in Table 1.

For the white Americans (\( N = 5,298 \)), more than half (\( n = 3,392; 64\% \)) were <50 years of age. The younger white Americans had a mean age of 36.6 ± 8.1, and 63 ± 9.8 for the older (≥50 years) age group. Compared to the older group, younger white Americans were less likely to be married (61.8% vs 71.1%, \( P < 0.001 \)) and were more frequently high school graduates (60.7% vs 55.6%, \( P < 0.001 \)). Older white Americans reported higher income and were more likely to never use alcohol for pain (90% vs 80%, \( P < 0.001 \)). Demographic characteristics for white Americans are provided in Table 1.

Within-Race-Group Pain Characteristics

Younger black Americans reported higher MPQ (PRI scale) scores compared to older black Americans (29.3 ± 13.0 vs 25.4 ± 15.0, \( P < 0.01 \)). There were no significant differences reported between younger and older black Americans in level of suffering due to pain, control over pain, or pain-related disability (Table 2). Table 3 shows that older white Americans had lower MPQ scores than younger white Americans (23.6 ± 12.1 vs 26.9 ± 12.0, \( P < 0.001 \)). No significant differences were reported between the younger and older white Americans in level of suffering due to pain, control over pain, and pain-related disability.

Sleep and Psychological Functioning for Black and White Americans

Both groups reported sleep problems. Older black Americans reported less difficulty falling asleep (73.8% vs 85.3%; \( P < 0.01 \)) and less trouble staying asleep (76.5% vs 84.7%; \( P = 0.03 \)) compared to younger black Americans. Table 4 shows there were no significant differences between the two age groups in how rested or refreshed they felt in the morning or how tired or fatigued the patient was in a typical day. After adjusting for the ability

---

### Table 1 Demographic and socioeconomic characteristics for black and white Americans by age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socioeconomic and Demographic Information</th>
<th>Black Americans</th>
<th>White Americans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;50 Years</td>
<td>≥50 Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean years ± SD)</td>
<td>36.7 ± 8.4</td>
<td>60 ± 9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender M/F (%)</td>
<td>30.0/70.0</td>
<td>40.5/59.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married (%)</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
<td>52.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/D/S (%)</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
<td>47.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;High school</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school graduates</td>
<td>65.8%</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College graduates</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual median household income (mean $ ± SD)</td>
<td>27,547 ± 9,439</td>
<td>27,324 ± 10,011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol for pain (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequently</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS = not significant; M = male; F = female; S/D/S = single/divorced/separated.

* Mann–Whitney U for independent samples.

† Chi-square.
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Table 2  Pain intensity, symptoms, and disability for black Americans by age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Black Americans &lt;50 Years</th>
<th>Black Americans ≥50 Years</th>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPO</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>29.3 ± 13.0</td>
<td>32.6–35.4</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDI</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>44.4 ± 12.7</td>
<td>42.9–45.9</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suffering with pain*</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.9–9–20</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control over pain†</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>1.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td>1.1–1.4</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI = confidence interval; NS = not significant; MPO = McGill Pain Questionnaire; PDI = Pain Disability Index: range = 0–70, 0 = no disability, 10 = total disability.

* 0 = no suffering, 6 = extreme suffering.
† 0 = no control at all, 6 = a great deal of control.

Mann–Whitney U-statistic.

to cope with pain, affective distress, and demographic characteristics (i.e., gender, education, marital status), there were no significant differences between the two groups in trouble staying asleep. Results also showed that after adjusting for depressive symptoms, age was not significantly associated with trouble falling asleep or staying asleep. After adjusting for age, the ability to cope with pain was not associated with trouble falling asleep.

Older black Americans reported better ability to cope with pain (1.3 ± 1.6 vs 3.8 ± 1.5, P < 0.01) than younger black Americans. There were no significant differences in their reports of symptoms related to post-traumatic stress between the younger and older black Americans. Both age groups reported a substantial number of depressive symptoms, with younger black Americans reporting more depressive symptoms than older black Americans (20.3 ± 11.9 vs 16.1 ± 11.3, P < 0.001). After adjusting for gender and marital status, older age was negatively associated with depressive symptoms (BDI) (OR 0.511; CI 0.334–0.782; P < 0.01). After accounting for the total number of months with pain, gender, marital status, and education, older age remained negatively associated with depressive symptoms (OR 0.433; CI 0.249–0.752; P < 0.01), but not with pain intensity (MPQ), pain-related disability (PDI), and symptoms related to post-traumatic stress (PCPT) (Table 4).

Table 5 shows that younger and older white Americans reported some sleep problems due to pain. The older age group reported less difficulty falling asleep (60% vs 73%, P < 0.001) and less trouble staying asleep (74% vs 78%, P < 0.01) when compared to the younger age group. Older white Americans reported being more rested or refreshed in the morning than younger white Americans (2.0 ± 1.4 vs 2.5 ± 1.6, P < 0.001). No significant differences was observed between the younger and older white Americans in how fatigued or tired either group felt on a typical day.

After adjusting for coping ability, affective distress, and demographic characteristics (i.e., gender, education, marital status), older age was associated with less trouble falling asleep (OR 0.570; CI 0.495–0.657; P < 0.001), but not with trouble staying asleep. Further results showed that after adjusting for depression, age was significantly associated with trouble falling asleep (OR 0.602; CI 0.527–0.688; P < 0.001), with older white Americans reporting less difficulty falling asleep. Age was not significantly associated with trouble staying asleep after adjusting for depressive symptoms among white Americans.

Table 3  Pain intensity, symptoms, and disability for white Americans by age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>White Americans &lt;50 Years</th>
<th>White Americans ≥50 Years</th>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPO</td>
<td>3,387</td>
<td>27.0 ± 12.1</td>
<td>26.6–27.4</td>
<td>1,904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDI</td>
<td>2,797</td>
<td>38.3 ± 13.9</td>
<td>37.8–38.8</td>
<td>1,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suffering with pain*</td>
<td>3,315</td>
<td>4.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.4–4.5</td>
<td>1,798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control over pain†</td>
<td>3,303</td>
<td>1.5 ± 1.5</td>
<td>1.4–1.5</td>
<td>1,781</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI = confidence interval; NS = not significant; MPO = McGill Pain Questionnaire; PDI = Pain Disability Index: range = 0–70, 0 = no disability, 10 = total disability.

* 0 = no suffering, 6 = extreme suffering.
† 0 = no control at all, 6 = a great deal of control.

Mann–Whitney U-test statistic.
Older white Americans reported better ability to cope with pain (3.3 ± 1.5 vs 3.6 ± 1.6, P < 0.001) than younger white Americans. Younger white Americans reported more depressive symptoms related to post-traumatic stress (8.3 ± 9.8 vs 6.3 ± 8.9, P < 0.001) compared to older white Americans. Both age groups reported a significant number of depressive symptoms. However, the younger age group reported more depressive symptoms when compared to older white Americans (17.2 ± 11.1 vs 14.3 ± 10.0, P < 0.001). After adjusting for gender and marital status, younger age was significantly associated with reporting more depressive symptoms (BDI) (OR 0.614; CI 0.543–0.693; P < 0.001), pain intensity (MPQ) (OR 0.681; CI 0.666–0.766; P < 0.001), and symptoms related to post-traumatic stress (OR 0.650; CI 0.548–0.771; P < 0.001). After accounting for the total number of months with pain, gender, marital status, and education, older age remained negatively associated with depressive symptoms (OR 0.595; CI 0.599–0.781; P < 0.001), and symptoms related to post-traumatic stress (OR 0.675; CI 0.556–0.819; P < 0.001).

### Discussion

An emerging literature documents the impact of pain on physical, psychological, and social well-being among the general population [39]. Our results revealed considerable within-group variability in pain intensity, physical disability, depressive symptoms, symptoms related to post-traumatic stress, and sleep problems among independent samples of black and white Americans. This variability may result from differences in coping skills, social learning, and attitudinal differences, which are known to influence the ability to tolerate pain [40–43]. Beyond these psychosocial differences, documented variability in physician decision making based on age, race, and

### Table 5 Psychologic variables, sleep, and ability to cope with pain for white Americans by age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>White Americans &lt;50 Years</th>
<th></th>
<th>White Americans ≥50 Years</th>
<th></th>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCPT</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.975</td>
<td>8.3 ± 9.8</td>
<td>7.9–8.7</td>
<td>1.798</td>
<td>6.3 ± 8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.186</td>
<td>17.3 ± 11.1</td>
<td>16.9–17.7</td>
<td>1.756</td>
<td>14.4 ± 10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to cope*</td>
<td>3.240</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3.3–3.4</td>
<td>1.721</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.6</td>
<td>3.5–3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rested in the morning†</td>
<td>3.291</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>1.9–2.0</td>
<td>1.773</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.6</td>
<td>2.4–2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How fatigued or tired‡</td>
<td>3.253</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>4.0–4.1</td>
<td>1.757</td>
<td>3.9 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3.9–4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI = confidence interval; NS = not significant; PCPT = Post-traumatic Chronic Pain Test; BDI = Beck Depression Inventory.

* 0 = not at all successful, 6 = extremely successful.
† 0 = not at all tired, 6 = extremely tired.
‡ 0 = not at all rested, 6 = extremely rested.
gender may also contribute to our findings [18,44–49]. The literature suggests that there is often an attachment between the primary care professional and the black patient when they are racially or ethnically similar and that physician patient communication varies based on these demographic variables [50]. Thus, the way that minorities and older patients report their pain, describe pain symptoms, and cope with pain may influence how and whether they choose to discuss their pain complaints with their physician, thereby, contributing to variability in the pain experience and health outcomes as well as our findings. Nonetheless, it was interesting to find that variability followed similar patterns across both racial groups.

Another major finding was that older black Americans reported lower pain intensity scores and were more successful at coping than younger black Americans. Similar results were observed among white Americans. The literature suggests that older adults in general are more likely than their younger counterparts to develop more effective coping skills when encountered with varied mental and physical health perturbations [50]. These strategies may allow older patients to effectively adjust and respond to pain. Older adults may have also learned to implement various strategies that are beneficial in decreasing psychological distress while improving their overall quality of life [51]. Finally, older adults may have acclimated to higher pain thresholds while developing lower expectations concerning their physical abilities [51]. More research is needed to examine whether intraracial differences vary by age, physical impairment, coping styles, and pain perception among black Americans.

Younger black and white Americans also reported more depressive symptoms than older black and white Americans. Previous research has shown that older black Americans with positive supportive emotional and physical relationships have a lower prevalence of depression [52]. However, there are considerable inconsistencies in the literature on the prevalence of depressive symptoms among black Americans. Previous research shows the highest rate of depressive symptoms is among older black Americans, especially when they have a medical condition [53,54]. Despite these results significant difficulty remains in interpreting, defining, and diagnosing depressive syndromes and other mental and medical conditions in black Americans. One explanation is that primary emphasis in defining these mental conditions has focused on comparisons involving black and white Americans [55,56].

Although we demonstrated intraracial differences in diverse populations, there are several study limitations that must be acknowledged. First, this was a retrospective analysis of a database that was developed primarily for clinical care among patients with a mixed pain etiology. Second, the patient’s race was assigned by an admissions clerk and may not reflect how patients identify themselves. Self-report, representativeness, and nonresponses are inherent sources of potential biases, although questionnaires were
completed confidentially [22]. Furthermore, self-report is subject to error and may be masked by depressive symptoms and clinical conditions. Finally, the cross-sectional nature of the study made it difficult to test or assume the temporal order of the relationship between pain and depressive symptoms, pain-related disability; or the relationship between pain and chronic medical conditions. Further investigations are necessary to determine whether these findings can be generalized to a broader population of community-dwelling black and white Americans with chronic pain.

Our findings underscore the importance of continued research on disease processed as well as physical and mental health outcomes both within and between ethnically diverse adults across age groups. We established that younger black Americans as well as younger white Americans reported more depressive symptoms than older black and white Americans. We also found that older black Americans and white Americans were better able to cope with pain than the younger age groups. This and other investigations exploring pain indicators within defined racial and ethnic groups (e.g., black Americans, white Americans) are necessary for developing a body of knowledge that will yield more meaningful and valid comparisons between different groups in an aging society [28]. These research efforts would provide a scientific basis for understanding the physical and psychological implications of chronic pain in black and white Americans. Future work should be directed at developing models that assess how social, race and ethnicity, and environmental factors influence the daily experience of pain among adults from diverse populations.
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